

OTM-R Checklist

	Open	Transparent	Merit-Based	Answer: (++Yes completely / +- Yes substantially / -+ Yes partially / no)	Suggested indicators (or form of measurement)
OTM-R system	<u> </u>				incubatement,
Have we published a version of our OTM-R policy online (in the national language and in English)?	x	x	x	-/+ OUI partiel	The regulation of recruitment is set by the State on its own website (www.galaxie.enseignementsuprecherche.gouv.fr), and we already apply the OTMR principles. However, this does not apply to contract staff.
Do we have an internal guidesetting out clear OTM-R procedures and practices forall types of positions?	Х	х	х	-/+	Guide issued for tenure teachers- researchers but no internal guide yet for other types of positions
Is everyone involved in the process sufficiently trained inthe area of OTM-R?	x	x	x	-/+	The Presidents of the Selection Committees are made aware of this during the information day and by means of documents, as well as the holders of projects awarded post- doctoral contracts by the university. However, this procedure must be extended to all research contract and doctoral contract holders.
Do we make (sufficient) use of erecruitment tools?	х	x		-/+	- recruitment page dedicated to all teachers-researchers recruitments on the UPEC website, recruitment campaigns are relayed on the national platforms GALAXIE/Euraxess and on social networks - The systematic publication of job descriptions in English on Euraxess job
Do we have a quality controlsystem for OTM-R in place?	Х	Х	х	-/+	Reporting tools exist for tenure recruitment, but not systematically for other positions
Does our current OTM-R policy encourage external candidates to apply?	x	x	x	+/-	The OTMR process is not fully implemented as such but the recruitment policy is open to all candidates who meet the criteria and offers are published externally
Is our current OTM-R policy in line with policies to attract researchers from abroad?	х	х	x	+/-	Applications are open to foreign candidates for tenure positions and contractual researchers, but no systematic publication on Euraxess for research contracts

s adopted an anti-
which is posted on
applied in the
iS
cale determines the
-researchers, but a
e established for
ers
tenure positions on
wide publicity for the
didates but there is no
nism
ns of teacher-
ıblished on Galaxie,
university website. For
ers, no job descriptions
uraxess for the
arch support positions,
s are published at the
oloipublic.fr)
o develop a new job
ng these elements
nly teaching and
published on
e post-doctoral
-
al websites (Galaxie,
dedicated social
university website
re dematerialised and
To domaterialious and
procedures followed
pard are strictly in
ational legislation.
recruited according to
ures. The
the label will be an
elop this point.
f the selection and
ination boards is
onal legislation. The
ary for contract
on the level of the
improved with the
HRS4R.t label.
essional examination
rity, both in terms of
ion set or multiple second in the second sec

HRS4R Form | EURAXESS

				national regulations and the policy in force at UPEC.
Do we have clear guidelines for selection committees, which help to judge 'merit' in a way that leads to the best candidate being selected?		х	+/-	Grids to assist in the evaluation and analysis of applications exist, but should be generalised for all selection committees.
		Appointment phase		
Do we inform all applicants at the end of the selection process	х		+/-	Concerning teacher-researcher competitions, they are systematically informed via Galaxie. For contract researchers, information is provided by the laboratories but not in a systematic, centralised manner.
Do we provide adequate feedback to interviewees?	х		+/-	An individual opinion is drawn up following the hearings and is available to the candidate. For contractual staff, they can ask for feedback on the hearing but this is not formalised.
Do we have an appropriate complaints mechanism in place?	х		+/-	Appeals are systematically dealt with in human resources direction and feedback is given to the candidate, but the procedure is to be integrated into the job description and put online soon.
		Overall assessment		
Do we have a system in place to assess whether OTM-R delivers on its objectives?			-/+	The selection committees draw up minutes of hearings but this should be systematised. Procedure to be put in place for research contract staff and to be systematised at the end of the campaign.